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As an extension of structure-activity relationship studies of pancratistatin (1), various techniques were first evaluated for separating the mixtures of 7-deoxynarciclasine (2b) and 7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3a) isolated from Hymenocallis littoralis. An efficient solution for that otherwise difficult separation then allowed the lactam carbonyl group of protected ( $\mathbf{4 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ ) alcohols $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 a}$ to be reduced employing lithium aluminum hydride. Cleavage (TBAF followed by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) of the silyl ester/acetonide protected 6a gave amine 8. X-ray crystal structure determinations were employed to confirm the structures of 3,4-acetonide-5-aza-6-deoxynarciclasine ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ), 5 -aza-6-deoxynarciclasine ( $\mathbf{8 a}$ ), and 5-aza-6-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine ( $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{9 b}$ ). Against the murine P388 lymphocytic leukemia and a panel of human cancer cell lines, the parent natural products, 7-deoxynarciclasine ( $\mathbf{2 b}$ ) and 7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3a), were found to generally be more cancer cell growth inhibitory ( $\mathrm{GI}_{50} 0.1$ to $<0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) than the compounds with structural modifications such as amine $\mathbf{8}$ by a factor of 10 or more. The trans ring juncture of isocarbostyril 3a proved to be an important modification of narciclasine (2a) for improving cancer cell growth inhibition in this series.

Terrestrial plants of the Amaryllidaceae family have been used as traditional medicine in the treatment of human cancer for well over two millennia. Illustrative are Narcissus poeticus, which was employed by the Greek physician Hippocrates (450-360 B.C.E.) to treat uterine cancer, and N. pseudo-narcissus, used by Pliny the Elder (23-79 C.E.) for cancer. ${ }^{2}$ Even the Bible refers to the Mediterranean N. tazetta L., which has a long history of use against cancer. ${ }^{3}$ Based on present scientific evidence, therapeutic benefit may have resulted from the antineoplastic isocarbostyril constituents such as ( + )-pancratistatin (1), ${ }^{4}$ narciclasine (2a), ${ }^{5}$ 7-deoxynarciclasine (2b), ${ }^{6}$ 7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3a), ${ }^{4}$ and glycoside derivatives. ${ }^{7}$

## Results and Discussion

Because of initial challenges associated with realizing an efficient total synthesis ${ }^{8}$ of ( + )-pancratistatin (1), we early considered isolation from plant sources to be a practical route to multigram quantities of this anticancer, ${ }^{9 a, b}$ antiviral, ${ }^{9 c, d}$ and antiparasite ${ }^{10}$ drug for preclinical development. By cloning Hymenocallis littoralis, a practical biosynthetic procedure ${ }^{4}$ for producing $(+)$-pancratistatin (1) was developed. The cloned $H$. littoralis bulbs and subsequent isolation procedure also led to narciclasine (2a), 7-deoxynarciclasine (2b), and 7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3a). The (+)-pancratistatin (1) and narciclasine (2a) were initially isolated together, leaving a difficult mixture to separate of 7-deoxynarciclasine (2b) and 7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3a). The present investigation was directed at developing methods for efficient separation of the latter mixture and then structurally modifying each component to further explore structure-activity relationships (SAR) involving ( + )-pancratistatin (1)..$^{4,9,11}$

Initial attempts to separate the mixture of 7-deoxynarciclasine ( $\mathbf{2 b}$, aka lycoricidine) $)^{5 \mathrm{a}, 6,12}$ and 7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3a) were directed at forming triester derivatives, in an effort to increase solubility and facilitate separation by column chromatography via widening their $\Delta R_{f}$ values. Treatment with acetic anhydride-pyridine provided the triacetates (2c and $\mathbf{3 b}$ ), which were separated on an analytical scale by HPLC using a reversed-

[^0]phase C-18 column. On a larger scale ( $>1 \mathrm{~g}$ ), gravity silica gel column chromatography followed by recrystallization allowed complete separation of the acetate mixture to afford pure $\mathbf{2 c}(70 \%)$ and $\mathbf{3 b} \mathbf{( 1 9 \% )}$. Deprotection with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ afforded the corresponding triols in excellent yield (2a, 90\%; 3a, 89\%). A potentially useful variation of the acetate 2 c hydrolysis occurred with implementation of ammonia in dry $\mathrm{EtOH}^{13}$ to give the product of selective deacetylation 2-acetoxy-7-deoxy-narciclasine ( $\mathbf{2 d}$ ) in $57 \%$ yield. The position of the remaining axial allylic acetate was determined by $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR studies. Separation upon scale-up led to a mixture of acetate fractions that required large volumes of solvents for separation. Later it was found these fractions could be reasonably separated by column chromatography on LH-20 Sephadex with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(3: 97)$ as eluent. By this route, triacetate 3b was obtained as fine needles suitable for X-ray crystal structure analysis.

The solid state conformation and absolute configuration of the triacetate 3b was determined via single-crystal X-ray diffraction methods. Because the molecule contained a high percentage of oxygen, it exhibited sufficient anomalous dispersion to allow an unambiguous absolute stereochemical structure assignment of the five chiral centers in $\mathbf{3 b}$, as depicted in Figure 1, to be as follows: C-2S, C-3R, C-4S, C-4a $R, \mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{~b} R$. In addition, examination of cellpacking diagrams indicated that intermolecular hydrogen bonding was occurring between the amide hydrogen on N-5 and the acetate carbonyl atom O-12 of an adjacent molecule of $\mathbf{3 b}$ in the unit cell: the intermolecular H bond distance was $2.366 \AA$.

Because the large-scale separation of $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 a}$ was timeconsuming, it was attractive to begin the SAR study and separate the mixtures at a later stage. The first objective of the SAR initiative was reduction of the isocarbostyrils mixture to isoquinolines. That was expected to greatly simplify separations and increase solubility. The mixture of syn diols was converted to acetonides $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 a}$ by treatment with 2,2-dimethoxypropane in DMF. The solubility of the mixture increased and allowed separation by chromatography, but suffered upon scale-up ( $>3 \mathrm{~g}$ ). In this case, the problem was overcome by forming the corresponding mixture of acetates $(\mathbf{4 b}$, 5b) that allowed isolation of the separate acetates upon scale-up ( $\mathbf{4 b}, 58 \% ; \mathbf{5 b}, 28 \%$ ) followed by partial deprotection to the alcohols (4a, 94\%; 5a, 93\%).


1, (+)-Pancratistatin


2a, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$, Narciclasine
b, $R_{1}=R_{2}=R_{3}=H$, 7-Deoxynarciclasine
c, $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=R_{3}=A c$
d, $R_{1}=R_{3}=H, R_{2}=A c$

$\begin{aligned} \text { 3a, } R & =H, 7 \text {-Deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine } \\ \text { b, } R & =A c\end{aligned}$


4a, $R=H$
b, $R=A c$
c, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$


6a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ b, $R=H$


8a, $R=H$
b, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$


5a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
b, $R=A c$ c, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$


7a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ b, $R=H$


9a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
b, $R=H$

Reduction of the lactams to the corresponding amines was next attempted. Both lactams resisted reduction upon treatment with lithium aluminum hydride in either refluxing diethyl ether, THF, or glyme (at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Presumably the amide was deactivated by intermolecular hydrogen bonding with the free hydroxyl proton. Accordingly, when the 2-position alcohols were protected as their silyl ethers, the reduction of the lactams to the amines $(\mathbf{4} \mathbf{c} \rightarrow \mathbf{6 a}$, $\mathbf{5 c} \rightarrow 7 \mathbf{a}$ ) proceeded smoothly.

The preceding reaction sequence was repeated with the mixture of acetonides $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 a}$. When protected as their silyl ethers ( $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ ), selective solubility in the solvent systems 3:2 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ hexane and 3:2 ethyl acetate-hexane was observed. Following filtration of the solution, 2-silyl ether $\mathbf{4 c}$ was obtained in $62 \%$ yield, and column chromatographic separation of the remaining mixture gave 2-silyl ether $\mathbf{5 c}$ in $24 \%$ yield. But, when the acetonide mixture was silylated and reduced to a mixture of the amines ( $6 \mathbf{a}$ and 7a),


Figure 1. Crystal structure conformation of 2,3,4-triacetoxy-7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3b).


Figure 2. Crystal structure conformation of 3,4-acetonide-5-aza-6,7-dideoxynarciclasine ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ).
the product resisted separation. However, upon cleavage of the silyl ether protection, using TBAF, the alcohol mixture ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$ ) was easily separated by column chromatography to afford the pure amines ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$ ) in good overall yield ( 70 and $24 \%$, respectively) from the acetonide mixture.

An X-ray crystal structure analysis of acetonide $\mathbf{6 b}$ confirmed the assigned structure (Figure 2). The deprotection of acetonide $\mathbf{6 b}$ to afford amine 8a was effected by treatment with a small amount of concentrated sulfuric acid in a 1:1 mixture of THF$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. An X-ray crystal structure determination was used to confirm the structure (8a, Figure 3). Amine 8a was converted to the hydrochloride salt ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ ). When this method was employed for deprotecting acetonide $\mathbf{7 b}$ to provide amine $\mathbf{9 b}$, purification by column chromatography on silica gel proved difficult owing to retention on the column. A more convenient method was found involving deprotection of the silyl ether (7a) directly to the hydrochloride 9a using $3 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (concentrated) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ gave crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography, which allowed unequivocal confirmation of the structure (9a, Figure 4, Supporting Information). The hydrochloride 9a was then converted to amine 9 b with potassium carbonate in methanol. Crystals obtained from a $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution of isoquinoline 9b were also examined using X-ray crystallography to establish the structure as 5-aza-6-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (9b, Figure 5, Supporting Information).

The amines $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$ and their hydrochloride salts $\mathbf{8 b}$ and $\mathbf{9 a}$, respectively, were evaluated against a minipanel of human cancer cell lines and murine P388 lymphocytic leukemia along with the parent compounds 2b and 3a for comparison purposes. Results of


Figure 3. Crystal structure conformation of 5-aza-6-deoxynarciclasine (8a). Shown are the two independent molecules of the parent compound and two of the solvate methanols.
the cancer cell line evaluation appear in Table 1. While isoquinolines $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$ exhibited significantly less cancer cell growth inhibition with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values in the $0.1-10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ range, the parent isocarbostyrils proved to be $10 \times$ or more active as cancer cell growth inhibitors. Further biological studies are now underway.

## Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Solvents were purified by redistillation, and in addition tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium benzophenone. Organic extracts of aqueous solutions were dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on Analtech silica gel GHLF plates eluting with the solvents indicated. Visualization was provided by a 254 mm UV lamp and development with a ceric sulfate spray/heat. Flash column chromatography was conducted with Merck silica gel 60 slurry packed in flask columns with the initiating solvent. Optical rotation values were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter.

Melting points are uncorrected and were observed using an Electrothermal 9100 capillary and Fisher-Johns melting point apparatuses. NMR spectra were acquired at either 300 or 500 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 75 and 125 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ employing Varian Gemini 300 MHz and Varian Unity 500 MHz instruments. The mass spectra were determined using a JEOL LCMate magnetic sector in APCI mode with a poly(ethylene glycol) reference or by FAB. X-ray data collections were accomplished on either an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 or a Bruker SMART 6000 diffractometer. Analytical combustion analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc., Knoxville, TN.

Scale-up Isolation of Phenanthridones from Hymenocalis littoralis (Jacq.) Salisb. In October, 1993, we terminated the growth of some 60000 bulbs ( 550 kg wet wt) of Hymenocallis littoralis (grown in central Arizona) ${ }^{4}$ and undertook the isolation of $(+)$-pancratistatin (1) and related isocarbostyrils. The H. littoralis bulbs ( 550 kg ) were subdivided and placed in six 220 L steel containers, and 120 L of technical grade $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was added to each vessel. After extraction for 20-48 days, the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ phase was removed and evaporated to an $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentrate of 8 L per container. The steel containers were refilled with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ for a second extraction of $16-24$ days and again were drained, and the solvent was concentrated. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentrates were combined, and 60 L of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added. Five successive partitionings between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1) were conducted, and the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extracts were concentrated to dryness. The residue was partitioned with EtOAc (half volume) and finally with 1-butanol. Each of the phases was evaporated, and a sample of each was submitted for cancer cell line (P388 leukemia) bioactivity study. Activity was shown to reside in the butanol and EtOAc fractions. These fractions were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ OH , and acetone (1.5:3.5) was added, which resulted in the precipitation of lycorine. ${ }^{4}$ The precipitate was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried. The soluble active fraction was concentrated and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ( $200 \mathrm{~g} / 600 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the solutions were filtered to remove precipitated impure lycorine. The remaining mixture was separated by gel permeation $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ using Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography. Six such column separations were conducted, and the eluent was monitored by TLC, combined, and analyzed using NMR and bioassay techniques (P388 leukemia). Some fractions were found to contain the previously known narciclasine (2a) and 7-deoxynarciclasine (2b) antineoplastic constituents of $H$. littoralis. Other fractions were shown to contain (+)pancratistatin (1), 7-deoxynarciclasine (2b), and 7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3a).

Acetylation and Separation of 7-Deoxynarciclasine (2b) and 7-Deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3a). Method A. To a fraction (1.1 g ), obtained from the preceding isolation procedures, containing isocarbostyrils $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 a}$ were added pyridine ( 3 mL ), acetic anhydride ( 3 mL ), and $N, N$-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, 0.023 g ). The solution was stirred (under argon) at room temperature for 24 h and then poured into ice water, with vigorous stirring. A fawn-colored precipitate formed, which was collected and dried. To the solid was added $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture gently warmed. The undissolved residue was collected and dried to provide 0.7 g . The remaining orange-colored solution was reduced to half volume and cooled, and the precipitate was collected and dried, yielding 0.2 g . Analyses by NMR indicated both were 7 -deoxynarciclasine acetate (2c). The remaining mother liquors were concentrated in vacuo to give an orangecolored oil, which by NMR was an approximate 1:1 mixture of acetates 2c and $\mathbf{3 b}$. The mixture was separated by silica gel column chromatography (gravity silica eluent 1:1 EtOAc- $n$-hexane) to afford acetate $\mathbf{2 c}$ as colorless crystals $(0.21 \mathrm{~g}$, total mass $=1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%, \mathrm{mp} 242-$ 244, lit. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{mp} 244-246^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and acetate $\mathbf{3 b}$ as colorless crystals ( 0.30 g , $19 \% ;$ mp $148-149$, lit. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{e}} \mathrm{mp} 148-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Method B. A typical fraction ( 5.1 g ) composed of isocarbostyrils $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 a}$ was stirred with pyridine ( 15.8 g ), acetic anhydride (17.7 $\mathrm{g})$, and DMAP $(0.10 \mathrm{~g})$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was poured onto ice-water ( 200 mL ), and the precipitate was collected, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried to afford an off-white powder (7.3

Table 1. Comparative Murine P-388 Lymphocytic Leukemia Cell Line ( $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ ) and Human Cancer Cell Line Inhibitory Activity $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right.$ in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) of 7-Deoxynarciclasine-Related Compounds

|  | cell lines |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| structure no. | leukemia P-388 ${ }^{a}$ | pancreas-a BXPC-3 | breast <br> MCF-7 | CNS <br> SF268 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lung-NSC } \\ & \text { NCI-H460 } \end{aligned}$ | colon <br> KM20L2 | prostate DU-145 |
| 1 | 0.039 | 0.028 | 0.032 | 0.017 | 0.048 | 0.026 | 0.016 |
| 2 a | 0.0012 | 0.026 | 0.019 | 0.021 | 0.032 | 0.021 | 0.011 |
| 2b | <0.01 | 0.12 | 0.093 | 0.047 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.062 |
| 2 c | 0.158 | 0.2 | 0.59 | 0.15 | 1.2 | 0.18 | 0.48 |
| 2 d | $<0.01$ | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.26 |
| 3a | $<0.01$ | 0.036 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.037 | 0.028 | 0.025 |
| 3b | 1.61 | 0.69 | 0.46 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.66 | 0.46 |
| 8 a | > 10 | > 10 | $>10$ | > 10 | > 10 | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| 8b | 7.1 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.4 | > 10 | 3.2 |
| 9 a | 3.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.78 | 1.7 | 0.71 |
| 9b | 0.43 | $>10$ | $>10$ | $>10$ | 7.5 | $>10$ | 4.4 |

[^1]g). Repetitive gravity silica gel chromatography using gradient elution $(30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc})$ afforded three fractions. The first was 2.61 g of acetate $\mathbf{2 c}$ as a colorless powder: $\mathrm{mp} 241-242{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $\left.{ }^{4} \mathrm{mp} 244-246^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}+219.5(c \mathrm{1}, \mathrm{DMSO}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 6.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H})$, $6.11-6.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-1), 6.07,6.05$ (each $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $5.47(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, H-3), $5.36-5.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2), 5.27-5.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4)$, $4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 2.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.12,(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.10\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $170.4\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right) 169.8\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 169.5\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 164.2$ (C-6), 151.8 (C-9), 149.3 (C-8), 134.1 (C-10b), 130.3 (C-10a), 122.4 (C-6a), 117.2(C-1), $107.6(\mathrm{C}-7), 103.5(\mathrm{C}-10), 102.1\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 71.3$ (C-4), 68.6 (C-2), $68.2(\mathrm{C}-3), 50.2(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a}), 20.9\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 20.8$ $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right)$; HRFABMS $m / z 418.1134[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20^{-}}$ $\mathrm{NO}_{9}, 418.1138$ ). The second fraction was a 0.74 g mixture of acetates 2c and $\mathbf{3 b}$ in a $9: 1$ ratio. The final fraction was a 3.2 g mixture in an approximate $1: 1$ ratio.

2,3,4-Triacetoxy-7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3b). The preceding $1: 1$ mixture ( 3.2 g ) of acetates $\mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ was subjected to repetitive Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography (3:97 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ to afford three fractions. The first was 0.85 g of acetate $\mathbf{3 b}$, which crystallized and yielded X-ray quality fine needles. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}-n$-hexane gave an off-white powder: mp $202-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{e}} \mathrm{mp} 148-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}+110.8$ (c 1, DMSO); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 6.71$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 5.44-5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3), 5.21$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4), 5.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, H-2), $3.81-3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 3.20-3.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~b}), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{eq})$, $2.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 1.96-$ $1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{ax}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right), \delta 170.3(\mathrm{C}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right) 169.4\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 169.2\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 165.7(\mathrm{C}-6), 151.5$ (C-9), 147.0 (C-8), 135.6 (C-10b), 123.1 (C-6a), 108.3 (C-7), 103.9 $(\mathrm{C}-10), 101.8\left(\mathrm{C},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 71.5(\mathrm{C}-4), 68.6(\mathrm{C}-2), 67.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 52.8$ (C-4a), $34.8(\mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{~b}), 26.7(\mathrm{C}-1), 21.0\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 20.9(\mathrm{C}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 20.7\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right)$; HRFABMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 420.1281[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{9}, 420.1295$ ). The second fraction contained 1.74 g of a mixture of acetates $\mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$, and the final fraction was pure acetate $2 \mathbf{c}(0.14 \mathrm{~g})$.

Crystal Structure Determination of 2,3,4-Triacetoxy-7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3b). A thick, colorless crystal ( $\sim 0.50 \times$ $0.44 \times 0.34 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), grown from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ containing a small amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, was mounted on the tip of a glass fiber with Super Glue, and data collection was performed at $293 \pm 1 \mathrm{~K}$. Accurate cell dimensions were determined by least-squares fitting of 25 carefully centered reflections in the range $35^{\circ}<\theta<40^{\circ}$ using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation.

Crystal Data: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{9}, \mathrm{fw}=419.38$, orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$, $a$ $=7.5301(15) \AA, 15.411(3) \AA, c=16.871(3) \AA, V=1957.9(7) \AA^{3}, Z$ $=4, \rho_{\mathrm{c}}=1.423 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, \mu(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha)=0.964 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \lambda=1.54178 \AA$.

All reflections corresponding to a complete octant $(0 \leq h \leq 8,0 \leq$ $k \leq 18,0 \leq l \leq 19$ ) were collected over the range $0^{\circ}<2 \theta<130^{\circ}$ using the $\omega / 2 \theta$ scan technique. Friedel reflections were also collected (whenever possible) immediately following each reflection. Three intensity control reflections were also measured for every 60 min of X-ray exposure time and showed a $0.0 \%$ decay over the course of the collection. A total of 3846 reflections were collected. Subsequent statistical analysis of the complete reflection data set using the XPREP ${ }^{14}$ program verified the space group as $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. After Lorentz and polarization corrections, merging of equivalent reflections, and rejection of systematic absences, 3326 unique reflections $(R($ int $)=0.0784)$ remained, of which 3274 were considered observed $\left(I_{0}>2 \sigma\left(I_{0}\right)\right)$ and were used in the subsequent structure determination and refinement. Linear and anisotropic decay corrections were applied to the intensity data as well as an empirical absorption correction (based on a series of psi-scans). ${ }^{15}$ Structure determination was readily accomplished with the direct-methods program SHELXS. ${ }^{16}$ All non-hydrogen atom coordinates were located in a routine run using default values in that program. The remaining H atom coordinates were calculated at optimum positions. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically in a full-matrix least-squares refinement using SHELXL. ${ }^{16}$ The H atoms were included, and their $U_{\text {iso }}$ thermal parameters were fixed at 1.2 times the $U_{\text {iso }}$ value of the atom to which they were attached and forced to ride that atom. The final standard residual $R_{1}$ value for $\mathbf{3 b}$ was 0.051 for observed data and 0.0561 for all data. The goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ was 1.092. The corresponding Sheldrick $R$ values were $w R_{2}=0.1456$ and 0.1469 , respectively. A final difference Fourier map showed minimal residual
electron density, the largest difference peak and hole being 0.321 and $-0.297 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$, respectively. Final bond distances and angles were all within expected and acceptable limits. The Flack absolute structure parameter $\chi$, obtained for $\mathbf{3 b}$ (Figure 1), was determined to be 0.16 (0.2). In contrast, the refinement of the opposite enantiomer of $\mathbf{3 b}$ resulted in a value of $0.84(0.2)$. These results correspond to a borderline case of Flack's description of an "enantiopure-sufficient inversiondistinguishing" structural example. ${ }^{17}$ Unfortunately, the weak distinguishing value of the Flack parameter (which should be 0.0 for the correct enantiomer) cannot be used to definitively assign the absolute stereochemistry of $\mathbf{3 b}$ alone. However, because the structure of $\mathbf{3 b}$ can be related to the known chiral centers of the related precursor compound, narciclasine, the stereochemical assignment of the centers in 3b can definitively be assigned as follows: C-2S, C-3R, C-4S, C-4aR, and $\mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{~b} R$.

2-Acetoxy-7-deoxynarciclasine (2d). Triacetate 2c ( 0.45 g ) and dry $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were stirred together. Ammonia gas was bubbled through this suspension for a period of 3 h . The mixture was evaporated to afford a brown solid ( 0.33 g ). Recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}-n$-hexane gave monoacetate $\mathbf{2 d}$ as an off-white powder ( 0.20 g, 57\%): mp 202-203 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}+219.5$ (c 1, DMSO); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.29$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10$ ), $6.13\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-1)$, $5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, OH$), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.24-5.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2)$, $4.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 3.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4), 2.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $169.6\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 163.0(\mathrm{C}-6), 151.1(\mathrm{C}-9), 148.3(\mathrm{C}-8), 134.1(\mathrm{C}-$ 10b), 130.9 (C-10a), 122.3 (C-6a), 118.2 (C-1), 106.3 (C-7), 103.5 (C10), $102.0\left(\mathrm{C},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 71.1(\mathrm{C}-2), 69.5(\mathrm{C}-4), 69.2(\mathrm{C}-3), 52.7$ $(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a}), 20.8\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right)$; HRFABMS m/z $334.0932[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$ 334.0927); anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{C}, 57.66$; $\mathrm{H}, 4.54$; $\mathrm{N}, 4.2$; found $\mathrm{C}, 57.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.56 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.16$.

7-Deoxynarciclasine (2b). Method A. To a solution of acetate 2c $(0.93 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.030 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 14 h and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was separated by column chromatography (eluent 9:1 DCM $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ) to afford $\mathbf{2 b}$ as colorless crystals ( $0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ): mp $214-215.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left[\right.$ lit. ${ }^{6} 214.5-215.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec) $]$.

Method B. A mixture of acetate $\mathbf{2 c}(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred. To this mixture was added sodium methoxide $(0.20 \mathrm{~g})$, and stirring continued for 12 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acidified to $\sim \mathrm{pH} 5$ with Amberlite IR-120 $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$resin). The resin was collected and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined wash solution was evaporated to afford a tan powder $(0.14 \mathrm{~g})$. Recrystallization from EtOH gave alcohol 2b as colorless fine needles ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ): mp 212-213 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{6} 214.5-$ $215.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.25$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10$ ), $7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-1), 6.10,6.08$ (each $\left.1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 5.16-5.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 4.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2)$, $3.80-3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4), 3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 163.2$ (C-6), 151.0 (C-9), 147.8 (C-8), 134.7 (C-10b), 130.5 (C-10a), 123.7 (C-6a), 121.9 (C-1), 106.2 (C-7), 103.3 (C-10), $101.9\left(\mathrm{C},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 72.6(\mathrm{C}-2), 69.2(\mathrm{C}-4), 69.2(\mathrm{C}-3), 52.8$ (C-4a); HRFABMS m/z $292.0819[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$, 292.0821).

7-Deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (3a). Method A. The preceding saponification reaction was repeated with acetate $\mathbf{3 b}(0.22 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ( 15 mL ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.007 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.04 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column chromatography (eluant 9:1 DCM $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ) afforded alcohol 3a as a colorless solid ( $0.134 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$, mp $303.5-305^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Method B. Triacetate 3b $(0.29 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to react with sodium methoxide $(0.28 \mathrm{~g})$ for 12 h as summarized above (see 2b) and continuing with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(90 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and acidification to $\sim \mathrm{pH}$ 5 with Amberlite IR-120 ( $\mathrm{H}^{+}$resin) to afford a tan powder ( 0.17 g ). Recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ gave alcohol 3a as colorless needles ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 303-304{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $\left.^{4} 303-304{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+83.9(c$ 1, DMSO); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 164.9(\mathrm{C}-6), 151.3$ (C8), $138.7(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-9, \mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{a}), 123.9$ (C-6a), 107.9 (C-7), 104.9 (C-10), $102.2\left(\mathrm{C},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 72.3(\mathrm{C}-4), 70.4(\mathrm{C}-3), 69.3(\mathrm{C}-2), 55.8(\mathrm{C}-$ 4a), 34.9(C-10b), 29.9 (C-1); HRFABMS m/z $294.0976(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{6}, 294.0977$ ).

Conversion of Alcohols 2b and 3a to 3,4-Acetonide Derivatives 4a and 5a. Method A. From the Separate Alcohols. 7-Deoxynarciclasine 3,4-acetonide (4a). Alcohol 2b ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 0.704 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and
$p$-toluenesulfonic acid $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.704 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-dimethoxypropane ( $\left.0.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.04 \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was added. The resulting solution was stirred for 16 h , poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extract was dried, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to provide a pale yellow solid, which was purified by column chromatography (eluent $50 \%$ EtOAc-$n$-hexane) to afford $\mathbf{4 a}$ as a colorless solid $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$ recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \operatorname{mp} 251-253{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-32.6\left(c 0.61, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{18 \mathrm{a}}$ $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-34.3\left(c 0.76, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{18 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{mp} 233-235{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 6.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$, $\mathrm{H}-1, \mathrm{NH}), 6.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.35-4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2), 4.10-$ $4.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4,4 \mathrm{a}, 3), 3.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.5,-\mathrm{OH}), 1.53\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 162.4,151.8,148.6$, $128.3,127.5,124.0,120.8,111.4,107.6,101.9,101.4,79.5,78.9,72.8$, 55.9, 27.0, 24.7.

The acetonide synthesis summarized above (4a) was repeated with alcohol 3a $(0.14 \mathrm{~g})$ and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid $(0.09 \mathrm{~g})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ) prior to adding $2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-dimethoxypropane $(0.57 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting pale yellow solid was separated as described above (cf. 4a) to afford acetonide 5a as a colorless solid ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ) from EtOH: mp 275 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-5.9\left(c 0.29, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 7.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 6.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 3.5,-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 5.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.5,-\mathrm{OH}), 4.15-4.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2)$, 4.05-4.15 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4,3$ ), 3.14-3.28 (1H, m, H-4a), 2.84-2.98 (1H, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~b}), 2.30-2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-1), 1.46-1.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-1), 1.36$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $163.9,150.6,146.1,136.9,123.4,108.4,107.0,104.6,101.6,77.0$, $76.2,64.6,57.8,32.2,29.7,28.1,26.4$; anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}{ }^{\bullet}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}, 60.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.27$; N, 4.07; found C, 60.45 ; H, 5.74; N, 4.07.

Method B. From a Mixture of Alcohols 2b and 3a. A fraction containing alcohols $\mathbf{2 b}$ and 3a (20.0 g) was treated in DMF ( 200 mL ) with $p$-toluenesulfonic acid $(1.30 \mathrm{~g})$ and $2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-dimethoxypropane (84.0 mL ) as noted for method A to yield a pale brown solid ( 25.9 g ). To the dried residue was added $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture gently warmed. The undissolved portion of the residue was collected and dried to afford a white solid ( 14.9 g ). The remaining orange-colored solution was reduced by half in volume and cooled. The precipitate was collected and dried ( 2.2 g ). By NMR, both precipitates proved to be acetonide 4a. The remaining mother liquors were concentrated in vacuo to yield a brown oil, which was separated by column chromatography (eluant 7:3 n-hexane-EtOAc) to yield another 0.50 g of acetonide 4a (total mass $17.7 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ) and acetonide $\mathbf{5 a}(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%)$.

Conversion of 3,4-Acetonide Derivatives 4a and 5a to the Silyl Ethers 4 c and 5c. Method A. From the Separate Alcohols. To alcohol 4a ( $0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0725 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 3 mL ) were added tertbutyldimethylsilyl chloride (TBDMSCl, $0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 0.109 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole $(0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 0.109 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was stirred for 5 h , and the DMF was removed in vacuo to afford a pale yellow oil. The residue was separated by column chromatography (eluant 7:3 $n$-hexane- EtOAc ) to afford silyl ether $\mathbf{4 c}$ as a colorless solid from hexane $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 269{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}+20.2$ (c 0.45, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 6.15-6.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-1, \mathrm{NH}), 6.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 4.30-$ $4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2), 4.00-4.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4,4 \mathrm{a}, 3), 1.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CH})_{3}\right)_{3}, 0.17\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 162.3,151.7,148.4,128.4,126.8,126.1$, $120.8,110.8,107.6,101.8,101.4,79.4,79.1,73.4,55.6,27.1,25.8$, $24.8,18.1,-4.5,-5.0 ;$ anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si} ; \mathrm{C}, 61.99 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.01$; N, 3.14; found C, 61.96; H, 7.32; N, 3.12; HRMS (APCI) ${ }^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $446.1997[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$, 446.1999).

The preceding silylation reaction (cf. 4c) was repeated with phenanthridone 5a $(0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 5 mL ) with TBDMSCl $(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole ( $0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Following column chromatographic separation of the pale yellow oily residue the silyl ether $(\mathbf{5 c})$ was obtained as a colorless solid $(0.089 \mathrm{~g}$, $90 \%$ ) from hexane $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{mp} 176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-23.2$ (c $0.41, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{H}-10), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2), 4.05-4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4), 4.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3)$, $3.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=13,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 3.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=13,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~b})$, $2.20-2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-1), 1.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, 4 \mathrm{a}, J=13,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1), 1.45$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.90\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.14(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s},-\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 165.4,151.3,146.6,136.4$, $123.1,109.8,108.4,104.2,101.6,77.6,66.9,57.8,31.9,31.6,28.2$,
26.4, 25.6, 17.9, -4.9, -5.0. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{C}, 62.00$; H, 7.01; N, 3.14; Found: C, 61.67; H, 7.55; N, 3.03.

Method B. From a Mixture of the 3,4-Acetonide Derivatives 4a and 5a. To a mixture of the acetonide derivatives 4a and 5a (10 g, 30.12 mmol ) in DMF ( 200 mL ) were added tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (TBDMSCl, $6.82 \mathrm{~g}, 45.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $3.072 \mathrm{~g}, 45.18$ mmol ). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature under argon. A precipitate developed over time ( 24 h ), and TLC (EtOAchexane $60 \%$ ) showed complete conversion to product. The DMF was removed by vacuum distillation, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ fraction was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic fractions were combined and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried, and concentrated to a yellow residue, which was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ hexane $60 \%$. The insoluble material was collected and dried to yield a colorless crystalline solid, $8.33 \mathrm{~g} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ analysis indicated it was pure 2-tert-butyldimethyl-silyloxy-3,4-acetonide-7deoxynarciclasine ( $\mathbf{4 c}$ ). The mother liquor was concentrated to a residue, which was taken up in EtOAc -hexane $60 \%$. The insoluble material was collected $(0.46 \mathrm{~g})$ and found to be additional silyl ether $\mathbf{4 c}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The total amount of silyl ether $\mathbf{4 c}$ recovered was $62 \%$. Column (silica gel) chromatographic (eluent 4:6 EtOAc-n-hexane) separation of the remaining mixture gave silyl ether $\mathbf{5 c}$ as a colorless crystalline solid (3.3 g, 24\%).

2-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-3,4-acetonide-5-aza-6-de-oxynarciclasine (6a). To a solution of phenanthridone $\mathbf{4 c}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cautiously added $\mathrm{LiA1H}_{4}(0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 8.99 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was heated at reflux under argon for 48 h . To the cooled reaction mixture was slowly added enough $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to stop the reaction ( 10 mL ). Next, $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to dissolve the precipitated salts, and the solution was extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to a pale yellow solid. The residue was separated by column (silica gel) chromatography (eluent $3: 2$ $n$-hexane-EtOAc) to give amine 6a as a colorless solid ( $0.862 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ) following recrystallization from hexane $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : mp $225^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}$ $+27.2\left(c 0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{H}-10), 6.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 5.88-5.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 4.30-4.36$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2), 4.00-4.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3,4), 3.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.40-$ $3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 2.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.94\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.13(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{s},-\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CHH}_{3}\right)\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 147.0,131.2,130.5$, 124.6, 123.4, 109.8, 106.2, 103.5, 100.8, 80.9, 77.5, 73.2, 63.5, 58.2, $47.2,27.0,25.8,24.6,18.1,-4.6,-5.1$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 432.2207$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$, 432.2207).

2-tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy-3,4-acetonide-5-aza-6-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (7a). To a solution of phenanthridone 5c (1.0 g, $2.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature under argon was added (dropwise) $\mathrm{LiA}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{4}(9 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) and the reaction mixture heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Before carefully adding EtOAc (200 mL ), the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was poured into a separatory funnel and extracted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried and concentrated to yield a foam, 0.86 g . Purification on silica gel using flash chromatography (eluent, $n$-hexane-EtOAc, 6:4) gave amine 7a as a colorless wax ( $0.397 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ): mp $48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+1.45\left(c 0.77, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.69$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 6.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.88\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 4.30-4.35$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2), 4.00-4.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3,4,6), 3.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 15, \mathrm{H}-6)$, $2.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt}, J 11, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~b}), 2.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11,9, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 2.05-2.20$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-11, \mathrm{~N} H), 1.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 13,3$, or $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-1), 1.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.91\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{Si}-$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 146.1$, $145.5,130.1,128.6,108.7,106.1,100.5,78.4,78.1,67.8,61.3,49.0$, $34.3,32.8,28.3,26.3,25.5,17.8,-5.0,-5.1$; HRMS (APCI ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 434.23627$; found $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 434.23628$; anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}, 58.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.88 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.98$; found C, 59.16; H, 7.49; N, 3.03.

The remaining material eluted from the column was a mixture of amine 7a and the TBDMS deprotected product, 3,4-acetonide-5-aza-6-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (7b, 0.223 g ).

3,4-Acetonide-5-aza-6-deoxynarciclasine (6b) and 3,4-Acetonide-5-aza-6-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (7b). A fraction from $H$. littoralis was converted to a mixture of acetonides $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 a}$ and then silylated to yield a mixture of silyl ethers $\mathbf{4 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}(5.58 \mathrm{~g}, 12.50$ mmol). To the silyl ether mixture in ether was cautiously added $\mathrm{LiA}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ $(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 50.00 \mathrm{~mol})$, and the mixture was heated at reflux under argon
for 48 h . The product was isolated as summarized for obtaining silyl ether 4c. Column chromatographic (eluent 3:2 n-hexane-EtOAc) separation led to a mixture of phenanthridines $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $7 \mathbf{a}(4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%)$. To a mixture $(2.1 \mathrm{~g})$ of phenanthridine $6 \mathbf{a}$ and phenanthridine $7 \mathbf{a}$ in THF ( 50 mL at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was added dropwise tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF, $5.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF). After warming to room temperature stirring was continued a further 2 h . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue partitioned between brine ( 150 $\mathrm{mL})$ and EtOAc $(4 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extract was dried, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield a pale yellow solid. The residue was separated by column (silica gel) chromatography (eluent EtOAc) to afford 3,4-acetonide-5-aza-6-deoxynarciclasine ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) as a colorless crystalline solid ( $1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). Recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ gave crystals, which were used for X-ray crystallography: $\mathrm{mp} 99^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $+16.3\left(c 0.64, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{H}-7), 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 5.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.5, \mathrm{H}-1), 5.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 4.30-4.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2), 4.05-4.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3,4)$, $3.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.45-3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 2.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs},-\mathrm{OH},-\mathrm{NH})$, $1.54\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 147.2,147.1,132.2,131.2,124.7,121.1,110.3,106.3,103.6,101.1$, 80.8, 77.8, 72.6, 58.6, 47.5, 27.0, 24.5; HRMS (APCI ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 318.1341; found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 318.1342; anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}, 60.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.32 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.18$; found $\mathrm{C}, 61.35$; H, 6.43; N, 4.15.

X-ray Single-Crystal Structure Determination of 3,4-Acetonide-5-aza-6-deoxynarciclasine Monohydrate (6b). A large, plate-shaped crystal, obtained via slow evaporation of a methanol solution, with approximate dimensions of $0.72 \times 0.64 \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$, was mounted on the tip of a glass fiber. An initial set of cell constants was calculated from reflections harvested from three sets of 80 frames at 123(1) K on a Bruker 6000 diffractometer. Cell parameters indicated an orthorhombic space group. The subsequent data collection, using 1 s scans/frame and $0.396^{\circ}$ steps in $\omega$, was conducted in such a manner as to obtain sampling of a complete sphere of reflections and resulted in $>80.3 \%$ coverage of the total reflections possible to a resolution of $0.83 \AA$. A total of 6379 reflections were harvested, and final cell constants were calculated. Subsequent statistical analysis of the complete reflection data set using the XPREP ${ }^{14}$ program indicated the space group was $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2$. (No.18). Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, a=23.268(5) \AA, b=$ 7.9479(14) $\AA, c=8.6486(16) \AA, V=1599.4(5) \AA^{3}, \lambda=(\mathrm{CuK} \alpha)=$ $1.54178 \AA, \mu(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha)=0.885 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \rho_{\mathrm{c}}=1.393 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $Z=4$ and $M_{\mathrm{r}}=335.35, F(000)=712$. After data reduction, merging of equivalent reflections, and rejection of systematic absences, 2358 unique reflections remained ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0378$ ), of which 2151 were considered observed $\left(I_{0}>2 \sigma\left(I_{0}\right)\right)$ and were used in the subsequent structure solution and refinement. An absorption correction was applied to the data with SADABS. ${ }^{19}$ Direct methods structure determination and refinement were accomplished with the SHELXTL NT ver. V6.12 ${ }^{16}$ suite of programs. All non-hydrogen atoms for the acetonide hydrate 6b (Figure 2) were located using the default settings of that program. The remaining hydrogen atom coordinates were calculated at optimum positions using the program SHELXL. ${ }^{16}$ These latter atoms were assigned thermal parameters equal to either 1.2 or 1.5 (depending upon chemical type) of the $U_{\text {iso }}$ value of the atom to which they were attached. Then both coordinates and thermal values were forced to ride that atom during the final cycles of refinement. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically in a full-matrix least-squares refinement process. The unit cell contained a single molecule of the parent compound along with a molecule of water. The final standard residual $R_{1}$ value for the model shown in Figure 1 was 0.0470 (for observed data) and 0.0547 (for all data). The corresponding Sheldrick $R$ values were $w R_{2}=0.1094$ and 0.1167 , respectively. The difference Fourier map showed minimal residual electron density, the largest difference peak and hole being +0.389 and $-0.310 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$, respectively. The final bond distances and angles for the structural model, as shown in Figure 1, were all within acceptable limits. Hydrogen bonding was observed between the water solvate molecule and the hydroxyl oxygen ( $\mathrm{O} 2,2.684$ $\AA$ ) and oxygen in the acetonide ring ( $\mathrm{O} 4,2.789 \AA$ ), as well as bonding with the nitrogen atom ( $\mathrm{N} 5,2.800 \AA$ ).

The fraction containing pure 3,4-acetonide-5-aza-6-deoxy-transdihydronarciclasine (7b) was obtained as a colorless solid ( 0.37 g , $24 \%): \operatorname{mp~} 81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]+37.9\left(c 0.97, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 6.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 5.89-5.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 3.92-4.32(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2,3,4,6), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=11.5,4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~b}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=16,11.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}$,
$J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.5, \mathrm{H}-1), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=16,11.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1), 1.77$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 146.5,145.9,129.7,127.3,109.1,106.5,106.1$, 100.8, 78.1, 77.6, 67.2, 60.7, 48.3, 33.8, 32.6, 28.2, 26.0; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) 320.1497(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\left(\right.$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{5} 320.1498(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$); anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}, 60.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.81 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.14$; found C, 60.34; H, 7.04; N, 3.94.

5-Aza-6-deoxynarciclasine (8a). To a solution of 3,4-acetonide-5-aza-6-deoxynarciclasine ( $\mathbf{6 b}, 0.070 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in a $1: 1$ mixture of THF- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 3 drops of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, followed by 2 drops of concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The mixture was stirred for 10 min and neutralized with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The remaining $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was removed by azeotroping with toluene. The solid residue was separated by silica gel column chromatography (eluent $4: 1$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ) to provide amine 8a as a colorless solid ( 0.058 g , $95 \%$ ). Recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ gave crystals that were used for X-ray crystallography: mp $147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}+68\left(c 0.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10)$, $6.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1) 5.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 4.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{H}-2), 3.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.66-$ $3.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}-4), 3.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 147.25$ (C), 146.9 (C), 133.3 (C), $130.9(\mathrm{C}), 117.9(\mathrm{C}), 113.3(\mathrm{CH}), 106.8(\mathrm{CH}), 103.8(\mathrm{CH}), 101.4$ $\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 86.7(\mathrm{CH}), 73.7(\mathrm{CH}), 70.52(\mathrm{CH}), 70.1(\mathrm{CH}), 56.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ;$ HRMS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 278.1015(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16^{-}}$ $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{5}, 278.1028(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right)$; anal. C 57.80, H 5.80, N 4.63 , calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5} . \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C} 58.25$, H 6.14, N 4.53 .

Single-Crystal X-ray Structure Determination of 5-Aza-6-deoxynarciclasine Methanol Solvate (8a). A plate-shaped crystal, obtained via slow evaporation of a methanol solution of the compound, with approximate dimensions of $0.32 \times 0.32 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$, was mounted on the tip of a glass fiber. Methods analogous to those described in the X-ray data collection, structure determination, and refinement of $\mathbf{6 b}$ were utilized in the X-ray analysis of this compound. Interestingly, each asymmetric portion of the unit cell of $\mathbf{8 a}$ was found to contain two independent molecules of the parent compound, as well as two molecules of the solvent, methanol. Data were collected as 1 s frames, width $=0.396^{\circ}$ in omega, on a Bruker 6000 diffractometer equipped with a CCD detector. Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, T=123(1)$ K, spacegroup $P 2_{1}$ (No. 4). $a=7.1228$ (3) $\AA, b=15.9412(5) \AA, c=$ 13.0197(5) $\AA, \beta=104.427(2)^{\circ}, V=1431.07(9) \AA^{3}, \lambda=(\mathrm{CuK} \alpha)=$ $1.54178 \AA, \mu(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha)=0.939 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \rho_{\mathrm{c}}=1.436 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $Z=4$ and $M_{\mathrm{r}}=309.31, F(000)=656$. The final Sheldrick's $R_{1}=0.0831$ was obtained for the structure expected. The goodness-of fit (Goof) was 0.993 and the final difference Fourier map exhibited minimal $\Delta F$ of +0.586 and -0.530 e $\AA^{-3}$. Further details concerning the X-ray analysis of 8a, X-ray coordinates, and atomic bond tables for $\mathbf{8 a}$ are presented in the Supporting Information.

To a solution of amine $\mathbf{8 a}(0.054 \mathrm{~g}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 1 N HCl in diethyl ether $(0.195 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.195 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred for 16 h and concentrated in vacuo, and the solid residue was separated by column chromatography (eluent 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ to yield hydrochloride $\mathbf{8 b}$ as a colorless solid $(0.044 \mathrm{~g}$, $72 \%$ ): mp $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

5-Aza-6-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine (9b). To a solution of acetonide $7 \mathbf{7 a}(0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(12 \mathrm{~mL}) \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ (concentrated, 0.5 mL ) dropwise to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h , and TLC ( $4: 6 \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexane) indicated complete conversion to hydrochloride $\mathbf{9 a}$. The solution was concentrated to a yellow powder, which was washed with EtOAc, collected, and dried ( $0.179 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ). Recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ gave crystals, which were examined by X-ray crystallography: mp 230-233 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}$ $+34.2\left(c \quad 0.5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$, NH), $8.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 5.98$, 5.97 (each $\left.1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right), 5.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bm}, \mathrm{OH})$, 4.13-4.09 (2H, m, H-6), $3.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.10-2.98(2 \mathrm{H}$, m, H-4a, H-10b), 2.25-2.20 (1H, m, H-1eq), $1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H-1ax); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 147.5$ (C), 130.1 (C), 127.8 $(\mathrm{C}), 120.3(\mathrm{C}), 105.4(\mathrm{CH}), 104.6(\mathrm{CH}), 100.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 71.4(\mathrm{CH}), 68.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 67.7(\mathrm{CH}), 57.5(\mathrm{CH}), 44.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1(\mathrm{CH})$; HRMS $(\mathrm{APCI})^{+} m / z 280.1190[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left(\right.$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{5}, 280.1185[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

To a solution of hydrochloride $9 \mathbf{a}(0.096 \mathrm{~g}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{OH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added potassium carbonate $(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the solution stirred for 16 h at room temperature. A precipitate
developed over time. The precipitate was collected to yield amine 9b as a colorless crystalline solid, $0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ gave crystals, which were used for X-ray crystallography: mp $235^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}+42.8\left(c 0.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-10), 6.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7), 5.89,5.87$ (each $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.86-3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.66-3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.55-3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.67-2.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{~b}$, $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 2.03-1.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{eq}), 1.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{ax})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO, 125 MHz$) \delta 145.5$ (C), 144.8 (C), 131.7 (C), 129.1 (C), $106.2(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}-10), 105.5(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}-7), 100.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right), 72.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $70.8(\mathrm{CH}), 69.4(\mathrm{CH}), 58.3(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}-4), 48.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}-6\right), 35.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, C-1), $30.8(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{~b})$; HRMS (APCI ${ }^{+}$) m/z $280.1199[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{5}, 280.1185$ ).

X-ray Crystal Structure Determination of 5-Aza-6-Deoxy-transDihydronarciclasine (9b) and Hydrochloride (9a). A crystal of the hydrochloride salt (9a), obtained via slow evaporation of a methanolwater solution of the compound, with approximate dimensions of 0.35 $\times 0.10 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$, was mounted on the tip of a glass fiber. Again, procedures analogous to those described in the X-ray data collection, structure determination, and refinement of $\mathbf{6 b}$ were utilized in the X-ray analysis of this compound. Data were collected as 15 s frames, width $=0.396^{\circ}$ in omega, on a Bruker 6000 diffractometer equipped with a CCD area detector. Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{5}, T=123(1) \mathrm{K}$, space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ (No. 19). $a=5.2274(3) \AA, b=14.5992(9) \AA, c=$ 18.2253(11) $\AA, V=1390.88(14) \AA^{3}, \lambda=(\mathrm{CuK} \alpha)=1.54178 \AA, \mu$ $(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha)=2.647 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \rho_{\mathrm{c}}=1.508 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $Z=4$ and $M_{\mathrm{r}}=315.74$, $F(000)=664$. The final Sheldrick's $R_{1}=0.0428$ was obtained for the expected structure of 9a (Figure 4, Supporting Information). The goodness-of fit (Goof) was 1.094, and the final difference Fourier map exhibited minimal $\Delta F$ of +0.272 and -0.229 e $\AA^{-3}$. Further details concerning the X-ray analysis of $9 \mathbf{a}$, including X-ray coordinates and atomic bond tables, are presented in the Supporting Information. Also present in the Supporting Information are details concerning the structure of 9 b via crystallographic methods.
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